STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BROOME: CITY OF BINGHAMTON COMMISSION ON ARCHITECTURE & URBAN DESIGN

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

DECISION NO. 2013-05

Z. Chastain & B. Giordano, APPLICANTS

FOR DESIGN REVIEW PURSUANT TO SECTION 67 OF ARTICLE XI OF ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

The Applicants are the tenants of the premises located at 37 Court Street, Tax Map Number 106.40-2-16. This property is a local landmark located within the Court Street Local and National Register Historic District and all exterior alterations must be reviewed by The Commission on Architecture and Urban Design; additionally, per §410-67(A)(1) any sign requiring permit located within a designated Historic District must be reviewed by the CAUD.

The Applicants have filed an application with the Planning Department of the City of Binghamton for design review by CAUD for the installation of one (1) awning sign, as shown in the attached image. The awning fabric color is to be Pantone 362U.

The Applicants have complied with all applicable procedural requirements. CAUD held the public hearing at City Hall 29 January 2013 at 12:00 pm.

B. Giordano presented the application. No one spoke in opposition.

After due deliberation, the Commission on Architecture & Urban Design by a 4-1-0 vote, (R. Levy, S. Massey, P. Klosky, and J. Smith in favor; M. Haas in opposition; none abstaining) determined that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:

1. NONE

Commission reviewed the proposal and used the following reasoning for its decision:

- 1. While the proposed sign exceeds the maximum size of an awning sign as established in §410-65 of the Zoning Code, the proposed awning sign is of an appropriate size for the size of the awning;
- 2. Awning signs are considered appropriate in the Historic District;
- 3. The proposed awning sign is reusing the existing awning frame; and
- 4. The proposed sign will not have any negative impacts on the historic character of the building or the district as a whole.

This is considered a Type II Action under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act, and no review is necessary.

Application: APPROVED

Ruth Levy, Chair

Commission on Architecture & Urban Design

<u>2/28/20/3</u> Date

Decision No. 2013-05

